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#### Abstract

An investigation into non-linear asymmetric vibrations of a clamped circular plate under a harmonic excitation is made. We re-examined a primary resonance studied by Sridhar, Mook and Nayfeh, in which the frequency of excitation is near the natural frequency of an asymmetric mode of the plate. We corrected their solvability conditions and found that in the absence of internal resonance, the steady state response can have not only the form of standing wave but also the form of travelling wave, which is a remarkable contrast to their conclusion.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

A clamped circular plate experiences mid-plane stretching when deflected. The influence of this stretching on the dynamic response increases with the amplitude of the response. This situation can be described with non-linear strain-displacement equations and a linear stress-strain law which give us the dynamic analogue of the von Karman equations with geometric non-linearity. Non-linear dynamic responses of a clamped circular plate subjected to harmonic excitations have been investigated by two approaches. One is to include symmetric vibrations and the other asymmetric vibrations. For symmetric responses, Sridhar et al. [1] and Hadian and Nayfeh [2] studied primary resonance of a circular plate with three-mode interaction. Lee and Kim [3] studied combination resonances of the plate. In these studies the steady state response can only have the superposition of standing wave components.

For asymmetric responses, Sridhar et al. [4] derived solvability conditions for modal interactions of a clamped circular plate. These conditions are said to be general in the sense of two aspects. First, the conditions include asymmetric vibrations as well as symmetric vibrations. Second, the conditions include all of natural modes. They used these conditions to examine two cases. One is the case of the absence of internal resonance and the other is the case of the internal resonance involving four modes. They concluded that in the absence of internal resonance, the steady state response can only have the form of a standing wave. When the frequency of excitation is near the highest frequency involved in the internal resonance, the steady state response was said to be given by a superposition of the standing wave components of all the modes involved in the internal resonance, or a superposition of the standing wave components of all the lower modes and the travelling
wave component of the highest mode involved in the internal resonance. However, they did not plot any illustrations on the responses.

In this study, we re-examined the analysis by Sridhar et al. [4] to find that they had misderived the solvability conditions in applying the method of multiple scales. We corrected the conditions and found that in the absence of internal resonance, the steady state response can have not only the form of a standing wave but also the form of a travelling wave, which is a remarkable contrast to their conclusion [4].

## 2. GOVERNING EQUATIONS

The equations governing the free, undamped oscillations of non-uniform circular plates were derived by Efstathiades [5]. These equations are simplified to fit the special case of uniform plates, and damping and forcing terms are added. Then the non-dimensionalized equations of motion of a circular plate shown in Figure 1 are given as follows [4]:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial t^{2}}+\nabla^{4} w=\varepsilon\left[L(w, F)-2 c \frac{\partial w}{\partial t}+p^{*}(r, \theta, t)\right]  \tag{1}\\
\nabla^{4} F=\left(\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial r \partial \theta}-\frac{1}{r^{2}} \frac{\partial w}{\partial \theta}\right)^{2}-\frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial r^{2}}\left(\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial w}{\partial r}+\frac{1}{r^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial \theta^{2}}\right), \tag{2}
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
L(w, F)= & \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial r^{2}}\left(\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial F}{\partial r}+\frac{1}{r^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} F}{\partial \theta^{2}}\right)+\frac{\partial^{2} F}{\partial r^{2}}\left(\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial w}{\partial r}+\frac{1}{r^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial \theta^{2}}\right) \\
& -2\left(\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial^{2} F}{\partial r \partial \theta}-\frac{1}{r^{2}} \frac{\partial F}{\partial \theta}\right)\left(\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial r \partial \theta}-\frac{1}{r^{2}} \frac{\partial w}{\partial \theta}\right), \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$



Figure 1. A schematic diagram of a clamped circular plate.
$\varepsilon$ is a small parameter given by the Poisson ratio $v$ and the thickness of the plate $h, c$ is the damping coefficient, $p^{*}$ is the forcing function, $w$ is the deflection of the middle surface, $F$ is the force function which satisfies the in-plane equilibrium conditions (in-plane inertia is neglected), and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla^{4} \equiv\left(\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial r^{2}}+\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}+\frac{1}{r^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \theta^{2}}\right)^{2} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The boundary conditions are developed for the plates which are clamped along a circular edge. For all $t$, and $\theta$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
w=0 \quad \frac{\partial w}{\partial r}=0 \quad \text { at } r=1,  \tag{5a,b}\\
\frac{\partial^{2} F}{\partial r^{2}}-v\left(\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial F}{\partial r}+\frac{1}{r^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} F}{\partial \theta^{2}}\right)=0 \quad \text { at } r=1,  \tag{6a}\\
\frac{\partial^{3} F}{\partial r^{3}}+\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial^{2} F}{\partial r^{2}}-\frac{1}{r^{2}} \frac{\partial F}{\partial r}+\frac{2+v}{r^{2}} \frac{\partial^{3} F}{\partial r \partial \theta^{2}}-\frac{3+v}{r^{3}} \frac{\partial^{2} F}{\partial \theta^{2}}=0 \quad \text { at } r=1 . \tag{6b}
\end{gather*}
$$

In addition, it is necessary to require the solution to be bounded at $r=0$.

## 3. SOLUTION

In order to re-examine the analysis by Sridhar et al. [4] we expand $w$ and $F$ as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& w(r, \theta, t ; \varepsilon)=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \varepsilon^{j} w_{j}\left(r, \theta, T_{0}, T_{1}, \ldots\right), \\
& F(r, \theta, t ; \varepsilon)=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \varepsilon^{j} F_{j}\left(r, \theta, T_{0}, T_{1}, \ldots\right), \tag{7a,b}
\end{align*}
$$

where $T_{n}=\varepsilon^{n} t$.
Substituting equations (7) into equations (1) and (2), and equating coefficients of like powers of $\varepsilon$ yields

$$
\begin{gather*}
D_{0}^{2} w_{0}+\nabla^{4} w_{0}=0  \tag{8}\\
\nabla^{4} F_{0}=\left(\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial^{2} w_{0}}{\partial r \partial \theta}-\frac{1}{r^{2}} \frac{\partial w_{0}}{\partial \theta}\right)^{2}-\frac{\partial^{2} w_{0}}{\partial r^{2}}\left(\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial w_{0}}{\partial r}+\frac{1}{r^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} w_{0}}{\partial \theta^{2}}\right)  \tag{9}\\
D_{0}^{2} w_{1}+\nabla^{4} w_{1}=-2 D_{0} D_{1} w_{0}-2 c D_{0} w_{0}+p^{*}+\frac{\partial^{2} w_{0}}{\partial r^{2}}\left(\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial F_{0}}{\partial r}+\frac{1}{r^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} F_{0}}{\partial \theta^{2}}\right) \\
+\frac{\partial^{2} F_{0}}{\partial r^{2}}\left(\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial w_{0}}{\partial r}+\frac{1}{r^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} w_{0}}{\partial \theta^{2}}\right)-2\left(\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial^{2} F_{0}}{\partial r \partial \theta}-\frac{1}{r^{2}} \frac{\partial F_{0}}{\partial \theta}\right)\left(\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial^{2} w_{0}}{\partial r \partial \theta}-\frac{1}{r^{2}} \frac{\partial w_{0}}{\partial \theta}\right), \tag{10}
\end{gather*}
$$

etc., where $D_{n}=\partial / \partial T_{n}$.
Substituting equations (7) into equations (5) and (6), and equating coefficients of like powers of $\varepsilon$, one obtains

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{j}=0, \quad \frac{\partial w_{j}}{\partial r}=0 \quad \text { at } r=1 \tag{11a,b}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\partial^{2} F_{j}}{\partial r^{2}}-v\left(\frac{\partial F_{j}}{\partial r}+\frac{\partial^{2} F_{j}}{\partial \theta^{2}}\right)=0 \quad \text { at } r=1,  \tag{12a}\\
\frac{\partial^{3} F_{j}}{\partial r^{3}}+\frac{\partial^{2} F_{j}}{\partial r^{2}}-\frac{\partial F_{j}}{\partial r}+(2+v) \frac{\partial^{3} F_{j}}{\partial r \partial \theta^{2}}-(3+v) \frac{\partial^{2} F_{j}}{\partial \theta^{2}}=0 \quad \text { at } r=1 \tag{12b}
\end{gather*}
$$

for all $j, \theta$ and $t$. In addition, it is necessary to require $w_{j}$ and $F_{j}$, for all $j$, to be bounded at $r=0$.

It follows from equations (8) and (11) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{0}=\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \phi_{0 m}(r) A_{0 m} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \omega_{0 m} T_{0}}+\sum_{n, m=1}^{\infty} \phi_{n m}(r)\left\{A_{n m} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\left(\omega_{n m} T_{0}+n \theta\right)}+B_{n m} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\left(\omega_{n m} T_{0}-n \theta\right)}\right\}+c c, \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $\phi_{n m}(r)$ are the linear shape functions in the $r$ direction given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{n m}=\kappa_{n m}\left[\mathbf{J}_{n}\left(\eta_{n m} r\right)-\frac{\mathbf{J}_{n}\left(\eta_{n m}\right)}{\mathbf{I}_{n}\left(\eta_{n m}\right)} \mathbf{I}_{n}\left(n_{n m} r\right)\right] \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

the $\kappa_{n m}$ are chosen so that

$$
\int_{0}^{1} r \phi_{n m}^{2} \mathrm{~d} r=1
$$

The function $\mathrm{J}_{n}$ are Bessel function of the first kind, of order $n$, and the function $\mathrm{I}_{n}$ are modified Bessel function of the first kind, of order $n$. The $\eta_{n m}$ are the roots of $\mathbf{I}_{n}(\eta) \mathbf{J}_{n}^{\prime}(\eta)$ $\mathrm{I}_{n}^{\prime}(\eta) \mathbf{J}_{n}(\eta)=0, \omega_{n m}=\eta_{n m}^{2}$, the $A_{n m}$ and the $B_{n m}$ are complex functions of the all $T_{n}$ for $n \geqslant 1$ which are to be determined from the solvability conditions at the next level of approximation, and $c c$ represents the complex conjugate of the preceding terms. In $\phi_{n m}$ and $\omega_{n m}$, the first subscript refers to the numbers of nodal diameters and the second subscript refers to the number of nodal circles including the boundary. The first summation of the right-hand side in equation (13) represents a superposition of symmetric standing waves. And the second summation looks a superposition of asymmetric travelling waves, but it contains both travelling and standing waves depending on the relative values of the $A_{n m}$ and $B_{n m}$. The solution can also be written in the following equivalent form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{0}=\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \phi_{n m}(r) u_{n m}\left(T_{0}, T_{1}, \ldots\right) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} n \theta} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{n m}=A_{n m} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \omega_{n m} T_{0}}+\bar{B}_{n m} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \omega_{n m} T_{0}} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\phi_{-n m}=\phi_{n m}$ and $\omega_{-n m}=\omega_{n m}$. Because $w_{0}$ is real,

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{-n m}=B_{n m} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting equation (15) into equation (9) leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla^{4} F_{0}=\sum_{n, p=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{m, q=1}^{\infty} E(n m, p q) u_{n m} u_{p q} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(n+p) \theta} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
E(n m, p q)= & -\frac{n p}{r^{2}}\left(\phi_{n m}^{\prime}-\frac{\phi_{n m}}{r}\right)\left(\phi_{p q}^{\prime}-\frac{\phi_{p q}}{r}\right) \\
& -\frac{1}{2 r}\left(\phi_{n m}^{\prime} \phi_{p q}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+\frac{1}{2 r^{2}}\left(p^{2} \phi_{n m}^{\prime \prime} \phi_{p q}+n^{2} \phi_{p q}^{\prime \prime} \phi_{n m}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and primes denote differentiation with respect to $r$.
An expansion for $F_{0}$ is assumed in the following form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{0}=\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} U_{n}\left(r, T_{0}, T_{1}, \ldots\right) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} n \theta} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting equation (19) into equation (18), multiplying the result by $\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} a \theta}$, and integrating from $\theta=0$ to $2 \pi$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{a}^{4} U_{a}=\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{m, q=1}^{\infty} E(n m, p q) u_{n m} u_{p q} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
p=a-n \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\nabla_{a}^{4}=\left[\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial r^{2}}+\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}-\frac{a^{2}}{r^{2}}\right]^{2}
$$

Then $U_{a}$ is further expanded as

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{a}=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} v_{a n}\left(T_{0}, T_{1}, \ldots\right) \psi_{a n}(r), \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $\psi_{a n}$ are the eigenfunctions of the following problem:

$$
\left(\nabla_{a}^{4}-\xi_{a n}^{4}\right) \psi_{a n}=0 \quad \text { in } r=[0,1],
$$

where $\psi_{a n}$ is bounded at $r=0$ and, from equations (12),

$$
\psi_{a n}^{\prime \prime}-v\left(\psi_{a n}^{\prime}-a^{2} \psi_{a n}\right)=0 \quad \text { and } \quad \psi_{a n}^{\prime \prime \prime}+\psi_{a n}^{\prime \prime}-\psi_{a n}^{\prime}-a^{2}\left[(2+v) \psi_{a n}^{\prime}-(3+v) \psi_{a n}\right]=0
$$

for all $\theta$ and $t$ at $r=1$. It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{a n}=\tilde{\kappa}_{a n}\left[J_{a}\left(\xi_{a n} r\right)-\tilde{c}_{a n} I_{a}\left(\xi_{a n} r\right)\right] \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $\tilde{\kappa}_{a n}$ are chosen so that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{1} r \psi_{a n}^{2} \mathrm{~d} r=1, \\
& \tilde{c}_{a n}=\frac{\left[a(a+1)(v+1)-\xi_{a n}^{2}\right] J_{a}\left(\xi_{a n}\right)-\xi_{a n}(v+1) J_{a-1}\left(\xi_{a n}\right)}{\left[a(a+1)(v+1)+\xi_{a n}^{2}\right] I_{a}\left(\xi_{a n}\right)-\xi_{a n}(v+1) I_{a-1}\left(\xi_{a n}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

and $\xi_{a n}$ are the roots of

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a^{2}(a+1)(v+1)\left[J_{a}\left(\xi_{a n}\right)-\tilde{c}_{a n} I_{a}\left(\xi_{a n}\right)\right]-a^{2} \xi_{a n}(v+1)\left[J_{a-1}\left(\xi_{a n}\right)-\tilde{c}_{a n} I_{a-1}\left(\xi_{a n}\right)\right] \\
& +a \xi_{a n}^{2}\left[J_{a}\left(\xi_{a n}\right)+\tilde{c}_{a n} I_{a}\left(\xi_{a n}\right)\right]-\xi_{a n}^{3}\left[J_{a-1}\left(\xi_{a n}\right)+\tilde{c}_{a n} I_{a-1}\left(\xi_{a n}\right)\right]=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Substituting equation (22) into equation (20), multiplying the result by $r \psi_{a b}$, and then integrating from $r=0$ to 1 , one obtains

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{a b}\left(T_{0}, T_{1}, \ldots\right)=\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{m, q=1}^{\infty} G(n m, p a ; a b) u_{n m} u_{p q} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(n m, p q ; a b)=\xi_{a b}^{-4} \int_{0}^{1} r \psi_{a b} E(n m, p q) \mathrm{d} r \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $p, a$ and $n$ are related according to equation (21). It follows from equations (24), (22) and (19) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{0}=\sum_{a, n=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{b, m, q=1}^{\infty} \psi_{a b} G(n m, p q ; a b) u_{n m} u_{p q} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} a \theta} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p=a-n$.
Substituting equations (26) and (15) into equation (10) leads to

$$
\begin{align*}
D_{0}^{2} w_{1}+\nabla^{4} w_{1}= & \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty}-2 \mathrm{i} \omega_{n m} \phi_{n m}\left[\left(D_{1} A_{n m}+c_{n m} A_{n m}\right) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \omega_{n m} T_{0}}\right. \\
& \left.\left.-\left(D_{1} \bar{B}_{n m}+c_{n m} \bar{B}_{n m}\right) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \omega_{n m} T_{0}}\right)\right] \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} n \theta}+p^{*}(r, \theta, t) \\
& +\sum_{a, n, c=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{b, m, d, q=1}^{\infty} G(n m, p q ; a b) \hat{E}(c d, a b) u_{c d} u_{p q} u_{n m} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(a+c) \theta} \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

where modal damping has been assumed, $p^{*}$ has been expanded as

$$
p^{*}(r, \theta, t)=\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} P_{n m} \phi_{n m} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\left(n \theta+\tau_{n m}\right)} \cos \lambda T_{0}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{E}(c d, p q)= & \frac{\phi_{c d}^{\prime \prime}}{r}\left(\psi_{a b}^{\prime}-\frac{a^{2}}{r} \psi_{a b}\right)+\frac{\psi_{a b}^{\prime \prime}}{r}\left(\phi_{c d}^{\prime}-\frac{c^{2}}{r} \phi_{c d}\right) \\
& +\frac{2 a c}{r^{2}}\left(\psi_{a b}^{\prime}-\frac{1}{r} \psi_{a b}\right)\left(\phi_{c d}^{\prime}-\frac{1}{r} \phi_{c d}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Because $w_{1}$ and $w_{0}$ satisfy the same boundary conditions, an expansion for $w_{1}$ is assumed in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{1}=\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} H_{n m}\left(T_{0}, T_{1}, \ldots\right) \phi_{n m} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} n \theta} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting equation (28) into equation (27), multiplying the result by $r \phi_{k l}(r) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} k \theta}$, and integrating the result from $r=0$ to 1 and $\theta=0$ to $2 \pi$, one obtains

$$
\begin{align*}
& D_{0}^{2} H_{k l}+\omega_{k l}^{2} H_{k l} \\
& =-2 \mathrm{i} \omega_{k l}\left[\left(D_{1} A_{k l}+c_{k l} A_{k l}\right) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \omega_{k l} T_{0}}-\left(D_{1} \bar{B}_{k l}+c_{k l} \bar{B}_{k l}\right) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \omega_{k l} T_{0}}\right]+\frac{1}{2} P_{k l} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \tau_{k l}}\left[\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \lambda T_{0}}+\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \lambda T_{0}}\right] \\
& +\sum_{n, c=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{d, m, q=1}^{\infty} \Gamma(k l, c d, n m, p q) \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} S_{j} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \Lambda_{j} T_{0}}, \quad k=0,1, \ldots, \quad l=1,2, \ldots, \tag{29}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma(k l, c d, n m, p q)=\sum_{b=1}^{\infty} G(n m, p q ; a b) \int_{0}^{1} r \phi_{k l} \hat{E}(c d, a b) \mathrm{d} r \tag{30a}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=k-c, \quad p=k-c-n \tag{30b,c}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\Lambda_{j}$ are frequency combinations, and $S_{j}$ are functions of $A_{n m}$ and $B_{n m}$. Both $\Lambda_{j}$ and $S_{j}$ are listed in Appendix A. Up to now, the result may be said to be the same as one by Sridhar et al. [4] if we ignore several misprints in reference [4].

Eliminating the secular terms (the coefficients of $\mathrm{e}^{ \pm i \omega_{k l} T_{0}}$ ) from the right-hand sides of equation (29), we obtain the following solvability conditions:

$$
\begin{align*}
& -2 \mathrm{i} \omega_{k l}\left(D_{1} A_{k l}+c_{k l} A_{k l}\right)+A_{k l}\left\{\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \gamma_{k l n m}\left(A_{n m} \bar{A}_{n m}+B_{n m} \bar{B}_{n m}\right)-\gamma_{k l k l} A_{k l} \bar{A}_{k l}\right\} \\
& +2\left(1-\delta_{k 0}\right) B_{k l}\left\{\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \hat{\gamma}_{k l k m} A_{k m} \bar{B}_{k m}-\hat{\gamma}_{k l k l} A_{k l} \bar{B}_{k l}\right\}+N_{k l}^{A}+R_{k l}^{A}=0,  \tag{31a}\\
& 2 i \omega_{k l}\left(D_{1} \bar{B}_{k l}+c_{k l} \bar{B}_{k l}\right)+\bar{B}_{k l}\left\{\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \gamma_{k l n m}\left(A_{n m} \bar{A}_{n m}+B_{n m} \bar{B}_{n m}\right)-\gamma_{k l k l} B_{k l} \bar{B}_{k l}\right\} \\
& \quad+2\left(1-\delta_{k 0}\right) \bar{A}_{k l}\left\{\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \hat{\gamma}_{k l k m} A_{k m} \bar{B}_{k m}-\hat{\gamma}_{k l k l} A_{k l} \bar{B}_{k l}\right\}+N_{k l}^{B}+R_{k l}^{B}=0, \tag{31b}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\delta_{k 0}$ are the Kronecker delta, $R_{k l}^{A, B}$ are terms due to internal resonances, if any, $N_{k l}^{A, B}$ are terms due to the external excitation, if any, and $\gamma_{k l n m}$ and $\hat{\gamma}_{k l k m}$ are constants given in Appendix A. It is noted that these solvability conditions are different from those by Sridhar et al. [4]. Terms including expressions $A_{k l} \bar{A}_{k l}, B_{k l} \bar{B}_{k l}$ and $2\left(1-\delta_{k 0}\right)$ in equation (31) are added to their solvability conditions. We can only conjecture two possible ways how this deviation happens. First, they might fail to collect all of the secular terms from equation (29). Second, the misprints might influence seriously the solvability conditions.

## 4. STEADY STATE RESPONSES

In this study, we consider a primary resonance in the absence of internal resonance. The frequency of excitation $\lambda$ is near natural frequency $\omega_{f g}$. We introduce a detuning parameter, $\sigma$, defined as follows:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\lambda=\omega_{f g}+\hat{\sigma}, \quad \hat{\sigma}=\varepsilon \sigma,  \tag{32a,b}\\
N_{f g}^{A}=\frac{1}{2} P_{f g} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\left(\sigma T_{1}+\tau_{n m}\right)}, \quad N_{f g}^{B}=\frac{1}{2} P_{f g} \mathrm{e}^{-\left(\mathrm{i} \sigma T_{1}-\tau_{m n}\right)} \tag{33a,b}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{k l}^{A, B}=0 \quad \text { for } k l \neq f g . \tag{33c}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next we let

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{n m}=\frac{1}{2} a_{n m} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \alpha_{n m}}, \quad B_{n m}=\frac{1}{2} b_{n m} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \beta_{n m}} \tag{34a,b}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{n m}, b_{n m}, \alpha_{n m}$ and $\beta_{n m}$ are real functions of $T_{1}$. Substituting equations (33) and (34) into (31) and separating the result into real imaginary parts yields

$$
\begin{align*}
& \omega_{k l}\left(a_{k l}^{\prime}+c_{k l} a_{k l}\right)-\frac{1}{4}\left(1-\delta_{k 0}\right) b_{k l} \hat{S}_{k l}^{s}-\frac{1}{2} \delta_{k f} \delta_{l g} P_{f g} \sin \mu_{f g}^{a}=0,  \tag{35a}\\
& \omega_{k l}\left(b_{k l}{ }^{\prime}+c_{k l} b_{k l}\right)+\frac{1}{4}\left(1-\delta_{k 0}\right) a_{k l} \hat{s}_{k l}^{s}-\frac{1}{2} \delta_{k f} \delta_{l g} P_{f g} \sin \mu_{f g}^{b}=0, \tag{35b}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \omega_{k l} a_{k l} \alpha_{k l}{ }^{\prime}+\frac{1}{8} a_{k l}\left(s_{k l}-\gamma_{k l k l} a_{k l}^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{4}\left(1-\delta_{k 0}\right) b_{k l} \hat{s}_{k l}^{c}+\frac{1}{2} \delta_{k f} \delta_{l g} P_{f g} \cos \mu_{f g}^{a}=0  \tag{35c}\\
& \omega_{k l} b_{k l} \beta_{k l}{ }^{\prime}+\frac{1}{8} b_{k l}\left(s_{k l}-\gamma_{k l k l} b_{k l}^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{4}\left(1-\delta_{k 0}\right) a_{k l} \hat{s}_{k l}^{c}+\frac{1}{2} \delta_{k f} \delta_{l g} P_{f g} \cos \mu_{f g}^{b}=0 \tag{35d}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
s_{k l}=\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \gamma_{k l n m}\left(a_{n m}^{2}+b_{n m}^{2}\right),  \tag{36a}\\
\hat{s}_{k l}^{s}=\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \hat{\gamma}_{k l k m} a_{k m} b_{k m} \sin \left(\alpha_{k m}-\beta_{k m}-\alpha_{k l}+\beta_{k l}\right),  \tag{36b}\\
\hat{s}_{k l}^{c}=\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\left(1-\delta_{m l}\right) \hat{\gamma}_{k l k m} a_{k m} b_{k m} \cos \left(\alpha_{k m}-\beta_{k m}-\alpha_{k l}+\beta_{k l}\right),  \tag{36c}\\
\mu_{f g}^{a}=\sigma T_{1}+\tau_{f g}-\alpha_{f g}, \quad \mu_{f g}^{b}=\sigma T_{1}-\tau_{f g}-\beta_{f g} . \tag{37a,b}
\end{gather*}
$$

Terms including $\hat{s}$ in the system of equations (35), and terms of $\gamma_{k l k l} a_{k l}^{2}$ and $\gamma_{k l k l} b_{k l}^{2}$, respectively, in equations (35c) and (35d) make system (35) different from the corresponding system by Sridhar et al. [4]. Terms including $\hat{s}$ have something to do with internal resonance. Since in this study we consider the case of no internal resonance, these terms do not affect the result at all. Terms of $\gamma_{k l k l} a_{k l}^{2}$ and $\gamma_{k l k l} b_{k l}^{2}$, therefore, are the effective difference between both systems by us and Sridhar et al. [4].

Each equilibrium solution ( $a_{k l}^{\prime}=b_{k l}^{\prime}=\mu_{f g}^{a^{\prime}}=\mu_{f g}^{b^{\prime}}=0$ ) of the system of autonomous ordinary differential equations (35) is corresponding to a steady state response. It follows immediately from equations (35) that

$$
a_{k l}=b_{k l}=0 \quad \text { for } k l \neq f g
$$

and that neither $a_{f g}$ and $b_{f g}$ can be zero. Thus, the steady state solution is given by equations (35) which can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\omega_{f g} c_{f g}=\frac{P_{f g}}{2 a_{f g}} \sin \mu_{f g}^{a}, & \omega_{f g} \sigma+\frac{1}{8} \gamma_{f f f g}\left(a_{f g}^{2}+2 b_{f g}^{2}\right)=-\frac{P_{f g}}{2 a_{f g}} \cos \mu_{f g}^{a}, \\
\omega_{f g} c_{f g}=\frac{P_{f g}}{2 b_{f g}} \sin \mu_{f g}^{b}, & \omega_{f g} \sigma+\frac{1}{8} \gamma_{f f f g}\left(2 a_{f g}^{2}+b_{f g}^{2}\right)=-\frac{P_{f g}}{2 b_{f g}} \cos \mu_{f g}^{b} . \tag{39a,b}
\end{array}
$$

Instead of $2 b_{f g}^{2}$ and $2 a_{f g}^{2}$, respectively, in equations (38b) and (39b), Sridhar et al. [4] obtained $b_{f g}^{2}$ and $a_{f g}^{2}$, respectively, in their corresponding equations.

Using equations (37), (34) and (13), one can write the steady state forced responses as

$$
\begin{equation*}
w=\phi_{f g}\left\{a_{f g} \cos \left(\lambda t-\mu_{f g}^{a}+f \theta+\tau_{f g}\right)+b_{f g} \cos \left(\lambda t-\mu_{f g}^{b}-f \theta-\tau_{f g}\right)\right\}+O(\varepsilon), \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is a superposition of two travelling waves rotating clockwise and counterclockwise, respectively. Form (40) can also be written as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
w=Z_{1} \cos \left(\lambda t+\zeta_{1}\right) \phi_{f g} \cos f \theta+Z_{2} \cos \left(\lambda t+\zeta_{2}\right) \phi_{f g} \sin f \theta+O(\varepsilon) \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the superposition of two standing waves. The constants $Z_{1}, Z_{2}, \zeta_{1}$ and $\zeta_{2}$ are given in Appendix A.

It depends on the relations between $a_{f g}, b_{f g}, \mu_{f g}^{a}$, and $\mu_{f g}^{b}$ whether form (40) turns out to be standing or travelling wave. When $a_{f g}=b_{f g}$ and $\mu_{f g}^{a}=\mu_{f g}^{b}$, form (40) can be reduced to the standing wave of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
w=2 \phi_{f g} a_{f g} \cos \left(\lambda t-\mu_{f g}^{a}\right) \cos \left(f \theta+\tau_{f g}\right)+O(\varepsilon), \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is similar to the natural mode corresponding to $\omega_{f g}$. Form (40) gives travelling wave otherwise.

## 5. NUMERICAL RESULTS

For a numerical example we consider the case of $f=1$ and $g=1$. The corresponding mode has one nodal diameter and no other nodal circle but the boundary. In Figure 2 the amplitudes $a_{11}$ and $b_{11}$ are plotted as functions of detuning parameter $\hat{\sigma}=\varepsilon \sigma$ when $\omega_{11}=21.2604$ [6], $v=1 / 3, \varepsilon=0.001067, \varepsilon c=0.01, \varepsilon P_{11}=4$ and $\tau_{11}=0$. Branches SS1, US1, US2 and SS2 represent the standing waves, while branches ST1, UT1, and UT2 represent travelling waves. Solid and dotted lines denote, respectively, stable and unstable responses. Except for the instability of branch US1, the response in the form of standing wave is the response of Duffing oscillator. The stable response in the form of travelling wave, $\left\{\mathrm{ST}_{A}, \mathrm{ST}_{B}\right\}$ represents $\left\{a_{11}, b_{11}\right\}$ or $\left\{b_{11}, a_{11}\right\}$. When $\hat{\sigma}<\hat{\sigma}_{1}$ and $\hat{\sigma}_{1}<\hat{\sigma}<\hat{\sigma}_{2}$, respectively, standing and travelling waves coexist in reality. While standing and travelling waves coexist when $\hat{\sigma}_{2}<\hat{\sigma}<\hat{\sigma}_{3}$, standing wave only exists when $\hat{\sigma}>\hat{\sigma}_{3}$. This result is remarkably different from one by Sridhar et al. [4]. They expected that the response is in the form of standing wave, which is the response of Duffing oscillator. We believe that this difference comes from the correction of solvability conditions.

Considering the case of no internal resonance as the case of 1:1 internal resonance between two modes having shapes of $\phi_{f g} \cos f \theta$ and $\phi_{f g} \sin f \theta$ corresponding to one natural frequency $\omega_{f g}$, Nayfeh and Vakakis [7] observed the coexistence of subharmonic standing and travelling waves in the case of subharmonic resonance. We believe that their result supports the validity of our observation.


Figure 2. Variations of the amplitudes with detuning parameter $\hat{\sigma}=\varepsilon \sigma$ when $\varepsilon P_{11}=4 .-$, stable; ---, unstable.
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Figure 3. Deflections of the circular plate for one period of excitation $(T=2 \pi / \lambda)$ when $a_{11}=1 \cdot 1608, b_{11}=$ $1.1608, \mu_{11}^{a}=3.0179, \mu_{11}^{b}=3.0179, \omega_{11}=21.2604, \hat{\sigma}=0.1$ and $\tau_{11}=0$. A standing wave $\left(a_{11}=b_{11}\right)$.

In order to show the deflection of the plate we consider the case of $\hat{\sigma}=0 \cdot 1$, in which there exist three stable responses (one is a standing wave and two are travelling waves). The initial condition determines which deflection is to be realized. Figures 3-5 represent deflections corresponding to the stable responses of the plate for one period of excitation $T(=2 \pi / \lambda)$. A standing wave $\left(a_{11}=b_{11}\right)$ is shown in Figure $3(\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{h})$, in each of which we can see a nodal line at 5 min past 7 o'clock. Figures 4 and 5 represent travelling waves, which are rotating clock-wise $\left(a_{11}>b_{11}\right)$ and counterclockwise $\left(a_{11}<b_{11}\right)$ respectively. It is noted that the dominant amplitude ( $a_{11}$ or $b_{11}$ ) determines the direction of the rotation.


Figure 4. Deflections of the circular plate for one period of excitation $(T=2 \pi / \lambda)$ when $a_{11}=4.7974, b_{11}=$ $0.7464, \mu_{11}^{a}=0.5352, \mu_{11}^{b}=0.07943, \omega_{11}=21.2604, \hat{\sigma}=0.1$ and $\tau_{11}=0$. A travelling wave $\left(a_{11}>b_{11}\right)$.

In these figures we can see that the period of deflection is the same as the one of excitation, which means the response of a primary resonance.

## 6. CONCLUSIONS

In order to investigate non-linear asymmetric vibrations of a clamped circular plate under a harmonic excitation, we examine a primary resonance, in which the frequency of
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Figure 5. Deflections of the circular plate for one period of excitation $(T=2 \pi / \lambda)$ when $a_{11}=0.7464, b_{11}=$ 4.7974, $\mu_{11}^{a}=0.07943, \mu_{11}^{b}=0.5352 \omega_{11}=21.2604, \hat{\sigma}=0.1$ and $\tau_{11}=0$. A travelling wave $\left(a_{11}<b_{11}\right)$.
excitation is near the natural frequency of an asymmetric mode of the plate. We reexamined the analysis by Sridhar et al. [4] to correct their solvability conditions and to find that in the absence of internal resonance, the steady state response can have not only the form of a standing wave but also the form of a travelling wave, which is a remarkable contrast to their conclusion.
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## APPENDIX A

Coefficients $S_{j}$ and frequency combinations $\Lambda_{j}$ in equations (29) are given in Table A1.

## Table A1

| $j$ | $S_{j}$ | $\Lambda_{j}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $A_{c d} A_{n m} A_{p q}$ | $\omega_{c d}+\omega_{n m}+\omega_{p q}$ |
| 2 | $A_{c d} A_{n m} \bar{B}_{p q}$ | $\omega_{c d}+\omega_{n m}-\omega_{p q}$ |
| 3 | $A_{c d} \bar{B}_{n m} A_{p q}$ | $\omega_{c d}-\omega_{n m}+\omega_{p q}$ |
| 4 | $\bar{B}_{c d} A_{n m} A_{p q}$ | $-\omega_{c d}+\omega_{n m}+\omega_{p q}$ |
| 5 | $\bar{B}_{c d} \bar{B}_{p m} \overline{\boldsymbol{B}}_{p q}$ | $-\omega_{c d}-\omega_{n m}-\omega_{p q}$ |
| 6 | $\bar{B}_{c d} \bar{B}_{n m} A_{p q}$ | $-\omega_{c d}-\omega_{n m}+\omega_{p q}$ |
| 7 | $\overline{\boldsymbol{B}}_{c d} A_{n m} \bar{B}_{p q}$ | $-\omega_{c d}+\omega_{n m}-\omega_{p q}$ |
| 8 | $A_{c d} \bar{B}_{n m} \bar{B}_{p q}$ | $\omega_{c d}-\omega_{n m}-\omega_{p q}$ |

$\gamma_{k l n m}=\Gamma(k l, k l, n m,-n m)+\Gamma(k l,-n m, k l, n m)+\Gamma(k l, n m,-n m, k l)$
$\hat{\gamma}_{k l k m}=\Gamma(k l, k m, k m,-k l)+\Gamma(k l,-k l, k m, k m)+\Gamma(k l, k m,-k l, k m)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Z_{1}=\sqrt{a_{11}^{2}+b_{11}^{2}+2 a_{11} b_{11} \cos \left(\mu_{11}^{a}-\mu_{11}^{b}-2 \tau_{11}\right)}, \\
& Z_{2}=\sqrt{a_{11}^{2}+b_{11}^{2}-2 a_{11} b_{11} \cos \left(\mu_{11}^{a}-\mu_{11}^{b}-2 \tau_{11}\right)}, \\
& \tan \zeta_{1}=\frac{a_{11} \sin \left(\mu_{11}^{a}-\tau_{11}\right)+b_{11} \sin \left(\mu_{11}^{b}+\tau_{11}\right)}{a_{11} \cos \left(\mu_{11}^{a}-\tau_{11}\right)+b_{11} \cos \left(\mu_{11}^{b}+\tau_{11}\right)}, \\
& \tan \zeta_{2}=\frac{-a_{11} \cos \left(\mu_{11}^{a}-\tau_{11}\right)+b_{11} \cos \left(\mu_{11}^{b}+\tau_{11}\right)}{a_{11} \sin \left(\mu_{11}^{a}-\tau_{11}\right)-b_{11} \sin \left(\mu_{11}^{b}+\tau_{11}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

